



## **Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

**F.11(1917)/DERC/2021-22**

### **Petition No. 52/2021**

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

**In the matter of:**

**Sudhir Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**VERSUS**

**BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.**

**Through its: CEO**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM:**

**Hon'ble Sh. Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson**

**Hon'ble Dr. A.K. Ambasht, Member**

**Appearance:**

Shri Akhil Hasija, Advocate for the Respondent

### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 20.09.2022)

(Date of Order: 20.09.2022)

1. Case has been called out Shri Akhil Hasija is present for the respondent. He has pointed out that on last three occasions, the Petitioner has not put in appearance. It appears that the Petitioner is not really interested in pursuing the matter. Hence, the Petition is dismissed in default. However, as an abundant caution we provide that in case the Petitioner approaches the Commission within two months from today with proper justification for non-appearance, this Commission may consider its restoration after giving notice to the opposite party.
2. The Petition is dismissed in default.

**Sd/-**  
**(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)**  
**Member**

**Sd/-**  
**(Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri')**  
**Chairperson**

---

**WEAR FACE MASK**

**WASH HANDS REGULARLY**

**MAINTAIN SOCIAL DISTANCING**