

# **Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110 017

F.11(579)/DERC/2010-11/C.F.No. 2518/5552

## **Petition No. 56/2010**

**In the matter of:** Complaint under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

AND

**In the matter of :**

Sabreen  
S/o Mohd. Ashiqeen  
S-4, DDA Flats,  
Turkman Gate,  
Delhi.

**...Complainant**

VERSUS

BSESYamuna Power Limited  
Through its : CEO  
Shakti Kiran Bulding,  
Karkardooma,  
Delhi .

**....Respondent**

### **Coram:**

**Sh. P.D.Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &  
Sh. J. P. Singh, Member.**

### **Appearance:**

1. Sh. Sanjib Pal, Sr. Manager, BYPL;
2. Sh. Dinesh Yadav, Sr. Manager, BYPL;
3. Sh. P.K. Mahur, Officer Legal, BYPL.

### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 08.11.2011)

(Date of Order: 02.01.2012)

1. The present complaint has been filed by the above named petitioner against the Respondent BYPL under section 142 of EA, 2003 for violation of Regulation 52 & other related Regulations of DERC Supply Code & Performance Standard Regulation, 2007, while booking a case of theft against the complainant.

2. However, in pendency of the above complaint the Respondent, in addition to filing of para wise reply, has informed that the aforesaid matter has already been amicably settled in between petitioner and respondent company in August, 2010 and the complainant has paid full settled amount and no dispute remains in between both parties. In support of the same they have filed an affidavit on Oath.
3. In pursuant to the above affidavit, Commission made a reference on 07.10.2011 to the complainant, seeking there in confirmation from the complainant on the above settlement. He was given 15 days time to reply from the receipt of the above communication.
4. The complainant was also informed through this letter that in absence of your non-submission of confirmation, it will be presumed that he is no more interested to press his prayer /grievance and the said complaint shall be treated as amicably settled and withdrawn. However, the complainant failed to file any reply.
5. The Commission heard the matter on 08.11.2011, which was attended by the above mentioned officers of the Respondent; however, no one appeared on behalf of the complainant.
6. In view of the facts stated above, the above complaint is disposed off, considering the same as amicably settled and withdrawn.
7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-  
(J. P. Singh)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shyam Wadhera)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(P. D. Sudhakar)  
CHAIRPERSON