

DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1353)/DERC/2015-16

Petition No. 10/2016

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Shamim Ahmed,
S/o Shri Hakim Ali,
A-2 & A-3, Khasra No. 16/14/1,
New Mandoli Ind. Area, Phase – II,
Delhi – 110093

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma
New Delhi – 110092

.....**Respondent**

Coram:

Sh. Krishna Saini, Chairperson & Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri Suraj Prakash, on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. Shri I U Siddiqui, Legal Officer, BYPL.
3. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 04.08.2016)

(Date of Order: 05.08.2016)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Shamim Ahmed under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure laid down in the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.
2. A notice of the petition was issued on 25.01.2016 to Respondent to file its reply. In response to the above notice, the Respondent filed its reply on 02.08.2016.

3. The matter was listed for hearing in the Commission today, which was attended by both the parties. During the hearing, the representative on behalf of the Petitioner submitted that the Respondent has violated the Regulation 16 & 17 of Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulation 2007 by not enhancing the load of the complainant from 10 KW to 11 KW. The Respondent failed to raise a demand note and no information letter was issued by the Respondent. The only reason given by the Respondent for not enhancing the load was that the said enhancement is not technically feasible in the said area.
4. The Counsel for the Respondent submitted that at the location where the premise of the consumer is situated, all the connections are energized through HVDS (High Voltage Distribution System) single phase Transformers. As a result of this, in the said location, only single phase connections are feasible whereas 11Kw connection requires three phases. The Respondent has made efforts to augment the system but could not get necessary land etc and therefore, it is not in a position to grant any three phase connections based on the existing infrastructure, as the same is not technically feasible.
5. The Commission directed the Respondent to provide technical feasibility report regarding load enhancement in the instant case and also information with supporting documents about action taken to augment the system of power supply in the area. The Respondent may file the report within two weeks after serving copy to the Petitioner. Thereafter, the Petitioner may file rejoinder within two weeks after serving copy on the other side.

6. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Krishna Saini)
Chairperson