

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

F.11(1866)/DERC/2021-22

Petition No. 36/2021

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:
Ms. Satinder Kaur

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its: M.D

.....**Respondent**

Coram:
Hon'ble Sh. Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson
Hon'ble Dr. A.K. Ambasht, Member

Appearance:

Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava, Advocate for the Respondent

ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 23.09.2021)

(Date of Order: 23.09.2021)

1. Case has been called out. Nobody appears for the Petitioner. However, Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava is present as a Counsel for the Respondent.
2. On the last occasion Counsel for the Respondent had informed that the Petitioner had approached the Permanent Lok Adalat and a Permanent Settlement had already been reached. Copy of the award was available in the office records. However, the Counsel present on that date, Shri Parveen Yadav had vehemently disputed this fact and further tried to introduce the petitioner in person before this Commission. Time was granted to the Counsel for the Respondent as well as the Petitioner to bring the correct facts before this Commission.
3. The Office has reported that the copy of the award of the settlement duly signed by the Petitioner is available on record. This goes to disprove the claim of the Petitioner and we feel that the matter has come to an end. We also record with a sense of sadness that the Counsels make statements before the Commission/courts without ascertaining correct facts from their clients, such practices are deprecated. However, there will be no order as to costs. The petition is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri')
Chairperson

WEAR FACE MASK

WASH HANDS REGULARLY

MAINTAIN SOCIAL DISTANCING