

**Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
**Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17**

F.11 (1126)/DERC/2014-15/4397

**Petition No. 32/2014**

**In the matter of:**     Petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

**In the matter of:**

Sandeep Srivastava  
R/o M/S. IYC World,  
A-43 Basement, Zamroodpur,  
New Delhi – 110048

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.  
Through its: **CEO**  
BSES Bhawan  
Nehru Place  
New Delhi-110019

.....**Respondent**

**Coram:**

**Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. J.P. Singh, Member & Sh. B.P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Shri Amit Kumar, Advisor, on behalf of the Petitioner.
2. Shri M.K. Banka, AR of the Petitioner.
3. Shri P.K. Gupta, Manager, BRPL.
4. Shri S. Bhattacharya, DGM Enforcement, BRPL.

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 28.01.2015)

(Date of Order: 11.02.2015)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Sandeep Srivastava, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure laid down in Regulations of the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. In his petition, the Petitioner has alleged the violation of Regulation 52(ix) Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007 – regarding analysis of consumption pattern etc.
3. Notice of the petition was issued on 15.07.2014 to the Respondent to file its reply.
4. In response to the notice dated 15.07.2014, the Respondent (BRPL) has submitted its reply on 25.08.2014 wherein they have denied the allegations made in the petition and have requested to dismiss the petition.
5. The matter was listed for hearing today i.e. 28.01.2015 which was attended by the representatives of the parties.
6. During the hearing, the petitioner submitted that there are 5 connections in the building having separate meters for each floor and during inspection of the premises, the respondent has clubbed the load connected to all the meters against the single meter in question and due to this, the load against this meter is shown exorbitantly high as 30.145KW. He further submitted that all other meters are having good consumption and even the load of these meters were enhanced just before the inspection. He further stated that keeping in view the Consumption of other meters it could be easily assessed that a wrong case of DAE is made by showing all the load against one meter only.
7. This was controverted by the Counsel for the Respondent. However, he could not substantiate his claim that all the loads shown in the inspection report were actually connected to the meter in the question and if the load of 30.145KW was connected against the meter in question then how other meters installed in the premises were showing good consumption.
8. The Commission directed the Respondent to obtain the consumption pattern of all the meters installed in the premises for the period of assessment. At the same time it may also be explained whether the meter in question, by its rating, was capable of handling the load of 30.145KW.

9. The Respondent shall furnish the aforesaid information within two weeks.  
The hearing is adjourned for a future date.
10. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.
11. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-  
(B. P. Singh)  
Member

Sd/-  
(J. P. Singh)  
Member

Sd/-  
(P. D. Sudhakar)  
Chairperson