Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1865)/DERC/2021-22

Petition No. 28/2021

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:
Shri Ratan Lal **…….Petitioner**

VERSUS

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its: M.D **……..Respondent**

Petition No. 35/2021

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:
Shri Ratan Lal **…….Petitioner**

VERSUS

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its: M.D **……..Respondent**

CORAM:
Hon'ble Sh. Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson
Hon'ble Dr. A.K. Ambasht, Member

Appearance:
1. Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate for Petitioner
2. Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 21.10.2021)
(Date of Order: 21.10.2021)

1. Heard Shri Vinod Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner and Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava, Counsel for the Respondent. A preliminary reply has been filed by Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava as per the old practice of this Commission. Earlier, whenever, the Petition was filed, a copy of the same was handed over to the Respondents to file a reply. Such a parawise reply has been filed by Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava.

2. As per the APTEL's Order in one case it has been directed that a formal notice should be issued to the Respondent before the case can be finally heard. We find that such a formality of issuing notices once again will be a wastage of valuable time of the Commission as well as the litigants. Hence, the Commission feels that formal notices are dispensed with and the reply of the Respondent will be taken as to have been filed after the issuance of the notice. Shri Manish

Kumar Srivastava as a fair Counsel has conceded to this proposition of the Commission.

3. Accordingly, we observe that the Respondent has filed their reply. However, since the respondent has not annexed all the documents which they wanted to annex with the formal reply, hence two weeks' time is issued to Shri Manish Kumar Srivastava for annexing the documents into this reply.

4. Meanwhile, if Shri Vinod Kumar proposes to file any rejoinder affidavit to the reply, that may also be filed during this period.

5. List this case on 23.11.2021.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Shabihul Hasnain'Shastri')
Chairperson