

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

No. F. 11(834)/DERC/2012-13/3587/1495

Petition No. 34/2012

In the matter of: Petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Ramji Lal
Shop No.E-9, JJ Colony
DDA Market, Sahkur Pur
Delhi-110034

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited
Through its : CEO
Grid Sub Station Building
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,
Delhi-110009

...Respondent

Coram:

**Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &
Sh. J. P. Singh, Member.**

Appearance:

1. Petitioner in person.
2. Sh. Manish Srivastava, Counsel for the Respondent.
3. Sh. O.P. Singh, AGM, TPDDL
4. Sh. Shalendra Singh, Sr. Manager, TPDDL.
5. Ms. Sarika, AM, TPDDL.

ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 04.07.2013)
(Date of Order: 10.07.2013)

1. The present petition has been filed by Sh. Ramji Lal, Shop No. E-9, J J Colony, Shakur Pur, Delhi-110034 against Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for non-compliance of order passed by CGRF-TPDDL on 12.07.2010, whereby the CGRF-TPDDL had directed the

Respondent to revise and prepare the bill on the basis of the units consumed upto date of disconnection and hand over to the complainant for payment subject to the decision of Civil suit pending Civil Court, Tis Hazari, Delhi.

2. Notice of the petition was issued to the respondent on 13.08.2012 to file its reply.
3. The Respondent has filed its reply vide their letter dated 29.01.2013 wherein it has been submitted that as per directions of the CGRF, the bill of the complainant has been revised from Rs. 9,43,196/- to Rs. 5,19,33/- and the revised was sent to the complainant through registered post vide covering letter dated 02.08.2010.
4. From the perusal of the record and oral submission made by the Petitioner it has been observed that the basic grievance of the Petitioner is that he was not satisfied with the amount and certain items of heads of the revised bill and wanted further details and statement of account to know as to how the amount of revised bill has arrived.
5. After hearing both the parties, the Commission directed the Respondent to supply the revised bill and further details of various items in the revised bill to the Petitioner.
6. The Commission further advised the Petitioner that in case he is not satisfied even with the revised bill, the petitioner should approach the CGRF for redressal of his grievance, under Section 42 (5) of the Act.
7. The petition is disposed-of with the above directions.
8. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(J. P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Shyam Wadhera)
Member

Sd/-
(P. D. Sudhakar)
Chairperson