



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110017.

No. F.11(1869)/DERC/2021-22/7105

R. Petition No.30/2021

In the matter of: **Petition under section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Order 47 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking clarification and review of the Order dated 24.03.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Commission in Petition 35 of 2020 filed by Indraprastha Power Generation Company Limited seeking approval of Life Extension & Synchronous Mode Operation of GTPS Plant and Operation beyond March, 2021 as per Regulation 49, 50 and 51 of the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2017**

Indraprastha Power Generation Company Limited
Versus.

... Review Petitioner

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited (TPDDL) & Ors.

....Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Ambasht, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Sameer Malik, Advocate, IPGCL
2. Mr. Buddy A. Ranganadhan, Advocate, BRPL & BYPL
3. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Advocate, BRPL & BYPL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 21.03.2023)

(Date of Order: 21.03.2023)

1. Heard, Mr. Sameer Malik, Counsel for the Petitioner as well as Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan, Counsel for the Respondent. Mr. Sameer Malik has raised four points for the Review of the Commission's earlier Order dated 24.03.2021 which is given as follows:
 - a) Availability to be declared only on the basis of Administrative Price Mechanism (APM) gas or cheaper gas;
 - b) No Further additional capitalization for a period of 10 years;
 - c) Only actual operation and maintenance expenses admissible in respect of the 4 gas turbines running on synchronous mode;
 - d) Entering into new power purchase agreements.
2. A question was put to the Petitioner whether the grounds raised in the Review Petition were considered or not at the time of passing of the impugned order. Mr. Malik has requested some time to file Written Submissions on same. After hearing

arguments on both the sides, the Commission has felt it prudent to give a chance to the Petitioner to file his points as raised during the hearing and also provide a copy of the same to the Respondent, so that a fruitful argument can be heard on the next date.

3. List the matter on 25.04.2023.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)
Member