

## **DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

### **Petition No. 45/2006**

#### **In the matter of:**

Sh. R. K. Aggarwal  
K-1, First Floor  
Green Park Extn.  
New Delhi – 110 062.

**.....Complainant**

#### **VERSUS**

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.,  
Through its: **CEO**,  
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,  
New Delhi – 110 019.

**.....Respondent**

#### **Coram:**

**Sh. Berjinder Singh, Chairman, Sh. K. Venugopal, Member &  
Sh. R. Krishnamoorthy, Member.**

#### **Appearance:**

1. Col. R. Tandon, OSD Eng., BRPL
2. Sh. Sita Ram, Manager, BRPL
3. Sh. R. C. Mehra, AVP

### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 11.01.2007)

(Date of Order: 26.03.2007)

- 1) The matter was listed for hearing on 11.01.2007. The brief submissions of the Complainant were that the Respondent have raised a bill on the load of 11.25 kw for the period from 14.01.2006 to 13.07.2006 which is prohibited under the Regulations of the DERC. The Complainant submitted that in terms of Regulation 2(f) of the DERC (Performance Standards – Metering & Billing) Regulations, 2002, the Respondent was required to take connected load as per prevailing season after giving mandatory tolerance of 5% in the alleged connected load. He mainly sought the following relief:
  - a) to direct the Respondent to comply with the Regulation 2(f) of the DERC (Performance Standards – Metering & Billing) Regulations, 2002.

- b) to direct the Respondent to segregate the cooling load and heating load of the Petitioner and give mandatory tolerance of 5% in the alleged connected load.
  - c) to impose penalty against the Respondent for violating the Regulations.
- 2) It was also informed by the Complainant that he had challenged the inspection report dated 13.07.2006 where he was implicated for an alleged case of direct theft before the Civil Judge, Tis Hazari. However, before the Commission the Complainant has only alleged the violation of Regulation 2(f) of the DERC (Performance Standards – Metering & Billing) Regulations, 2002.
- 3) Sh. R. C. Mehta, Representative of the Respondent, submitted that both the parties have agreed to settle the matter amicably. It has also been brought to the notice of the Commission that the Complainant has made full and final payment of Rs. 2.10 lakh on 14.12. 2006 and as on date, there are no outstanding dues against the complainant. The Respondent have also sent a letter to the Commission, enclosing a copy of the letter of the Complainant dated 12.12.2006, wherein he has expressed his willingness to withdraw the complaint. The Complainant is however, not present before the Commission.
- 4) In view of the submissions made by the Respondent's representative and the letter of the Complainant dated 12.12.2006, the Petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
- 5) Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-  
(K. Venugopal)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(R. Krishnamoorthy)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Berjinder Singh)  
CHAIRMAN