



**Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
**Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17**

No. F. 11(1302)/DERC/2015-16/5035

**Petition No. 77/2015**

**In the matter of:**      **Petition for seeking adjudication of dispute between the Distribution and Transmission Licensee along with (i) Complaint u/S 142 & 146 of the E.A 2003 seeking appropriate directives from the Commission in relation to disbursement of Short Term Open Access Charges by DTL and (ii) Petition u/s 62(6) of the E.A. 2003 seeking refund of excess tariff paid to DTL filed by TPDDL**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.  
**Through its Managing Director**  
Grid Sub Station Building  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,  
Delhi 110 009

....Petitioner

**Versus**

Delhi Transco Limited  
Shakti Sadan, Kotla Marg,  
New Delhio 110002

....Respondent

**Coram:**

**Sh. B. P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Mr. Alok Shankar, Adv., TPDDL
2. Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Adv. DTL
3. Ms. Anukriti Jain, TPDDL
4. Mr. O.P. Singh, TPDDL
5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar, TPDDL
6. Mr. Sanjay, TPDDL
7. Mr. Khursheed Alam, DTL
8. Mr. Sumit Gupta, DTL

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 20.12.2017)  
(Date of Order: 28.12.2017)

1. In the instant Petition the petitioner has alleged that as per the provisions of DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2007, and DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2011, the revenues earned from Short Term Open Access (STOA) is treated as revenue from other business. Accordingly, a Transmission Licensee is required to adjust the charges collected from STOA consumers towards reduction of transmission charges by 75% of income earned by it through STOA.

2. The Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that it has already provided all the details about its claim against STOA charges and the matter may be adjudicated upon on the basis of the claim filed by it.
3. It is observed that the claim submitted by the Petitioner needs more clarification so as to arrive at an exact figure of rebate or LPSC since the details of concerned invoices against which payment was adjusted are not provided.
4. The Petitioner is directed to file its claim on an affidavit within two weeks, clearly indicating the invoices against which it has adjusted or made the payments. A copy of the claim shall also be furnished to the Respondent. The Respondent may file its reply to the claim within two weeks, thereafter.
5. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
**(B.P. Singh)**  
**Member**