



**Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
**Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17**

No. F. 11(1149)/DERC/2014-15/4472

**Petition No. 48/2014**

**In the matter of:** Petition pursuant to the order dtd. 02.07.2014 passed by the Hon'ble CERC in Petition no. 143/MP/2013 thereby granting liberty to the Petitioner (TPDDL) to approach the Hon'ble Commission for payment of "UI charges" receivable by the Petitioner from Intrastate constituents of Northern Region UI pool account fund.

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.  
Through its **Managing Director**  
Grid Sub Station Building  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,  
Delhi 110 009

....**Petitioner**

**Vs**

BSES Rajdhani Power Limited  
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place  
New Delhi 110019

And

State Load Despatch Centre, Delhi  
Shakti Sadan, Kotla, Minto Road, Delhi

...**Respondent**

**Coram:** **Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson**  
**Sh. J. P. Singh, Member &**  
**Sh. B.P. Singh, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Mr. Alok Shankar, Adv. TPDDL
2. Mr. Ajay Kapoor, TPDDL
3. Mr. Anurag Bansal, TPDDL
4. Mr. Uttam Kumar, TPDDL
5. Ms. Sarika Mehta, TPDDL
6. Mr. Susheel Gupta, SLDC, DTL

**ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 09.12.2014)

(Date of Order: 29.12.2014)

1. Mr. Alok Shankar, the Ld. Counsel for petitioner, submitted that the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission in its order dated 02.07.2014 in Petition no. 143/282-MP-2013 directed that *"The dispute regarding non-payment of UI dues by some of the intra-state entities to DTL and consequently, non-settlement of the dues of other distribution licensees of Delhi does not fall within the jurisdiction of this Commission. The petitioners are at liberty to approach DERC for appropriate relief in accordance with law if so advised."*
2. Mr. Shankar further submitted that approximately Rs. 1.26 crores is receivable by the petitioner from the intra-state entities.

3. Mr. Susheel Gupta for SLDC submitted that the principal amount has been paid to the petitioner and only the interest is pending due to the non-payment of Unscheduled Interchange Charges (UI charges) by BRPL.
4. The Commission is not convinced with the contention of SLDC. The Commission is of the considered view that since SLDC has separate agreements with all the intra-state entities drawing power from the grid therefore it is their obligation to pay the outstanding interest to the petitioner without mixing it up with the non-payment of UI charges by BRPL. The Commission directs SLDC to pay outstanding interest to the petitioner within a month and also take appropriate action to recover the outstanding dues towards UI charges from BRPL.
5. With the above directions, the petition is disposed off.
6. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-**  
(B.P. Singh)  
Member

**Sd/-**  
(J. P. Singh)  
Member

**Sd/-**  
(P. D. Sudhakar)  
Chairperson