



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

No. F. 11(1086)/DERC/2013-14/

Petition No. 10/2014

In the matter of: **Petition seeking issuance of appropriate directions by the Hon'ble Commission and exercise of its power under Section 33(4) of the Act read with DERC (State Grid Code) Regulations 2008.**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

Through its Managing Director

Grid Sub Station Building
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,
Delhi 110 009

....Petitioner

vs.

State Load Despatch Centre

Delhi Transco Ltd.

33KV Sub Station Building,

Minto Road,

New Delhi 110 002

...Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S S Chauhan, Chairperson

Hon'ble Mr. B. P Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Adv., TPDDL
2. Mr. Aniket Prasoon, Adv., TPDDL
3. Mr. S K Chaturvedi, Adv., SLDC
4. Mr. Vishnu S Pillai, Adv., SLDC
5. Mr. Yogendra Bhaskar, Adv., SLDC
6. Mr. Bharat Bhadawat, TPDDL
7. Mr. Anurag Bansal, TPDDL
8. Mr. Sandeep Kumar, TPDDL
9. Ms. Sujata guruji, TPDDL
10. Mr. P K Shandilya, SLDC
11. Ms. Sonali Garg, SLDC
12. Mr. B L Gujar, SLDC
13. Mr. Pavan, SLDC

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 24.07.2018)

(Date of Order: 09.08.2018)

1. The Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the forced scheduling of power by SLDC is adversely affecting the cost of power procurement which results in higher tariff for the consumers; and the forced scheduling is also leading to underdrawl as it creates a situation of power surplus for the petitioner on real time basis which also leads to penalties as per the new Deviation Settlement Mechanism in place from 17th Feb. 2014.
2. The Counsel for the Respondent submitted that the Petitioner in its rejoinder has raised some additional associated issues such as sub-optimal scheduling of

power by Delhi SLDC, Non-Billing of Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.'s share of power from Aravali scheduled to BRPL & BYPL, Discrepancy in real time schedule displayed by SLDC, etc.

3. On the issue of force scheduling due to technical constraints, it is made clear that Petitioner shall not be affected adversely if it is established through certification from SLDC that the power was force scheduled to the Petitioner due to technical constraints.
4. The Respondent SLDC is directed to provide certificates to the effect that certain power was force scheduled to the petitioner due to technical constraints and for this purpose the Petitioner will also coordinate with the Respondent so that a joint statement on the issue of forced scheduling of power may be filed before the Commission within 2 weeks.
5. Other issues raised by the Petitioner shall be considered after adjudicating the issue of forced scheduling of power.
6. The next date of hearing is 14.08.2018.
7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice S S Chauhan)
Chairperson