Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17.

No. F.11(466)/DERC/2008-09/

Petition No. 72/2008

In the matter of: **Petition for Determination & Apportionment of Rental Charges for Drawal of Power from BBMB 220KV Grid-sub-Station at Rohtak Road and Direction/Order dated 11.12.2007 passed by the Commission**.

North Delhi Power Ltd.
Through its: Sr. General Manager
33Kv Sub Station Building,
Hudson Lane,
Delhi 110 009 ….Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Delhi Transco Limited
   Through its: CMD
   Shakti Sadan, Kotla Road,
   New Delhi-110002. …Respondent No. 1

2. Superintending Engineer
   Operation & Mtc. Division,
   Bhakra Beas Management Board
   PO Power House Rohtak Road,
   Delhi 110 035 …Respondent No. 2

3. BSES Rajdhani Power Limited
   Through its : CEO
   BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,
   New Delhi-110019. …Respondent No. 3

4. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
   Through its: CEO
   Shakti Kiran Building,
   Karkardooma
   New Delhi – 110 092. …Respondent No. 4

Coram:

Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairman, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &
Sh. J.P. Singh, Member.

Appearance:

1. Mr. Pradeep Dahiya, Adv. BBMB
2. Mr. Krishnenu Dutta, Adv. TPDDL
3. Mr. Shashwat, Exe. NDPL
4. Mr. Anurag Bansal, Sr. Manager, NDPL
5. Mr. Prakash, TPDDL
6. Mr. V.B. Singh, Manager (Comml.) DTL
7. Ms. Megha, Manager, BRPL
8. Mr. Sanjay Srivastav, A.V.P. BRPL
9. Mr. Yash, BYPL

ORDER

(Date of Hearing 04.12.2012)
(Date of Order: 12.12.2012)

1. The Commission heard the Petitioner as well as Respondent No. 2 at some length. The Counsel for Respondent No. 2 submitted that they have filed an appeal against an order of the CERC before the Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, wherein they have challenged the jurisdiction of the CERC. The hearing has been completed and order is reserved in the said appeal.

2. The Respondent No. 2 has made a request that hearing in this matter may be fixed only after pronouncement of order by the ATE in the said appeal.

3. The Commission has accepted the request of Respondent No. 2. This Petition may be adjourned sine die with the direction to the Petitioner as well as Respondent No. 2 to make a request before the Commission for further hearing in this matter after pronouncement of the order in the above mentioned appeal.

4. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
(J.P. Singh)	(Shyam Wadhera)	(P.D. Sudhakar)
MEMBER	MEMBER	CHAIRMAN