



DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017.

F.11(1537)/DERC/2017-18/5993

REVIEW PETITION NO. 66/2017

**In the matter of : Review Petition filed against the Tariff Order dated 31.08.2017 in
Petition no. 21 and 23 of 2017**

BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma
New Delhi – 110 092.

....**Petitioner**

Coram:

Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Buddy A Ranganadhan, Advocate
2. Mr. Hasan Murtaza, Advocate
3. Mr. Gagan Swain, BYPL
4. Ms. Prachi Jain, BYPL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 21.12.2017)

(Date of Order: 28.12.2017)

1. The instant Petition has been filed by BYPL for review of the following issues as contained in the Commission's Tariff Order dated 31.08.2017 in the Tariff Petition filed by the Petitioner:
 - i. Error in computation of AT&C loss and Revenue for FY 2008-09;
 - ii. Error in amount billed for computation of AT&C loss for FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16;
 - iii. Omission of the amount of depreciation corresponding to consumer contribution for capital works during FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16;
 - iv. Erroneous inclusion of Pole rental income in Non Tariff Income for FY 2015-16;
 - v. Arithmetical error in summation of O&M expenses for FY 2008-09 and FY 2009-10;
 - vi. Error in including UI interest as part of NTI during FY 2009-10 to FY 2013-14;
 - vii. Error in allowing the amount of Depreciation on Consumer contribution for capital works considered as NTI during FY 2011-12 to FY 2013-14;
 - viii. Erroneous inclusion of advance Tax liability paid under protest as refund of Income Tax during FY 2013-14;

- ix. Error in consideration of Rebate from DTL as NTI during FY 2013-14;
 - x. Error in computation of Normative Rebate on Power Purchase Cost;
 - xi. Error in consideration of RPO Target for 2017-18;
 - xii. Error in consideration of Capitalisation Amount for FY 2017-18; and
 - xiii. Error in consideration of rate of Depreciation for FY 2017-18.
2. The Ld. Counsel for the Review Petitioner made submissions and argued the matter in detail.
3. After hearing the arguments advanced by Ld. Counsel, this Commission is of the view that certain issues raised by the Review Petitioner may require a Review/Revision. Accordingly, the Review Petition is admitted and the Tariff Division is directed to convene a meeting with the officers of the Review Petitioner within two weeks to sort out the issues and submit a report.
4. The Order is reserved.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member