

# DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017.

F.11(1546)/DERC/2017-18/6012

## PETITION NO. 62/2017

#### In the matter of : Remand Back matter in Appeal No. 255 of 2013.

Delhi Transco Ltd. Shakti Sadan Kolta Road, <u>New Delhi 110002</u>

...Petitioner

Vs.

- BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. Through its : CEO BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place, <u>New Delhi 110 019</u>
- 2. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. **Through its : CEO** Shakti Kiran Building, Karkardooma, <u>Delhi 110 092</u>
- Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. Through its : Managing Director Grid Sub Station Building Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp <u>Delhi 110 009</u>
- 4. New Delhi Municipal Council Palika Kendra, Parliament Street <u>New Delhi 110 001</u>
- 5. Military Engineers Services Ministry of Defence Government of India <u>New Delhi 110 011</u>

...Respondents

## <u>Coram</u>: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S S Chauhan, Chairperson Hon'ble Mr. B.P. Singh, Member

#### Appearance:

- 1. Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Adv., DTL
- 2. Mr. Ashwin Ramanathan, Adv., DTL
- 3. Mr. Buddy A Ranganadhan, Adv., BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
- 4. Mr. Anupam Verma, Adv., BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
- 5. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Advocate, BRPL, BYPL and TPDDL
- 6. Mr. Anish Garg, DTL
- 7. Mr. P K Shandilya, DTL
- 8. Mr. Ajay Kr. Sharma, DTL
- 9. Ms. Meghna Gill, DTL
- 10. Mr. Gabbar Singh, DTL

- 11. Mr. Sumit Gupta, DTL
- 12. Mr. Rajeev Chowdhry, BRPL
- 13. Mr. Ravi Shandilya, BRPL
- 14. Mr. Mayank Ahlawat, BRPL
- 15. Mr. Kanishk, BRPL
- 16. Mr. Shekhar Saklani, BYPL
- 17. Mr. Abhishek Srivastava, BYPL
- 18. MR. Sameer Singh, BYPL
- 19. Mr. Bharat Bhadawat, TPDDL
- 20. Mr. Anurag Bansal, TPDDL
- 21. Mr. Pradeep Kr. Shokeen, NDMC

## **INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 24.07.2018) (Date of Order: 09.08.2018)

- 1. The counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioner intimated that Hon'ble Commission's Order dated 28.05.2018 has been complied with and to the effect that an affidavit explaining income tax aspects has been filed.
- 2. The Counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent contended that he had received the affidavit few minutes back and he requires some time to oppose the affidavit made by the Review Petitioner. Accordingly, he sought time for further action in this aspect.
- 3. The Hon'ble Commission on hearing both the sides granted time for two weeks for further necessary action.
- 4. The next date of hearing will be informed in due course
- 5. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-(B.P. Singh) Member

Sd/-(Justice S S Chauhan) Chairperson