

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17.

No. F.11(811)DERC/2011-12/

Petition No.22/2012

In the matter of : Petition for adoption of Generic Tariff for Generation of Electricity from Tail End Grid Interactive 50KwP Solar PV Project at TPDDL RG-22 Grid Rohini in Delhi.

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its : Managing Director
Sub-Station Building,
Hudson Lane, Kingsway Camp
Delhi 110 009

....Petitioner

Coram:

**Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairman, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &
Sh. J.P. Singh, Member.**

Appearance:

1. Mr. K. Dutta, Advocate
2. Mr. Anurag Bansal, Sr. Mgr., TPDDL
3. Mr. Sugata Mukherjee, AGM, TPDDL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 08.01.2013)
(Date of Order: 07.02.2013)

1. This petition has been filed by NDPL for adoption of Generic Tariff for Generation of Electricity from Tail End Grid Interactive 50KwP Solar PV Project at TPDDL RG-22 Grid Rohini in Delhi.
2. During the course of hearing, the Commission enquired from the Counsel of the Petitioner about the various subsidies/incentives available to such project, including capital subsidies, generation based incentive (GBI) for projects registered under Jawahar Lal Nehru National Solar Mission and availability of carbon credits from MNRE. The Commission noted that in its earlier order of 09.07.2010, a general dispensation of allowing tariff in conformity with the CERC guidelines for renewable energy projects had been given subject to their registration and eligibility for GBI.
3. In response to the query of the Commission, the Counsel for the Petitioner informed that there are 07 projects approved for subsidy/incentive/CFA. In these

07 projects the Commission was of the opinion that it can determine tariff as per CERC guidelines subject to determination of actual costs and a prudence check as deemed necessary.

4. The Commission directed that audited accounts be submitted for above Solar PV Project, for which the tariff determination has been sought.
5. The Commission further directed to furnish details about the actual rate of interest on the monies borrowed by the Petitioner (loans & working capital) till date.
6. The Counsel for the Petitioner sought one month's time for filing the above information.
7. The Commission granted liberty to file the requisite information on Affidavit within one month.
8. The matter is listed for hearing on 26.02.2013 in the Commission's office at 3.00 P.M.
9. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(J.P. Singh)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shyam Wadhera)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(P.D. Sudhakar)
CHAIRMAN