Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

F.11 (1115)/DERC/2014-15/4370

Petition No. 22/2014

In the matter of: Petition filed under section 142 of Electricity Act, 2003

And

In the matter of:

Mr. Parveen Bhatia (R/C) & (USER)
25-B-26-B, Kanti Nagar Indl. Area
New Delhi – 110051 **…….Complainant**

VERSUS

1. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma,
Delhi-110 092
2. Head of Enforcement
Enforcement Cell (IIND)
BESE Yamuna Power Ltd.
IP Extension, Patparganj
New Delhi - 110092
3. Assessing Officer
Enforcement Cell (IIND)
IP Extension, Patpar Ganj
New Delhi **…….Respondents**

Coram:
Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. J.P. Singh, Member & Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Sh. Munish Nagpal, BYPL,
2. Sh. Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent
3. Sh Divij Kumar, Advocate for Respondent.

ORDER
(Date of Hearing: 12.02.2015)
(Date of Order: 26.02.2015)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Parveen Bhatia, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against M/s BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. for violation of certain provisions of Delhi Electricity Supply Code & Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. During the last hearing on 30.10.2014, the Counsel for the Respondent informed that a settlement has been made between the parties, which was in full and no issue required adjudication by the Commission. The Respondent had sought time from the Commission for producing the settlement letter after obtaining it from the Petitioner, which was allowed.

3. The matter was listed for hearing today i.e. on 12.02.2015. No appearance was made by either the petitioner or his counsel at the time of hearing, whereas the representatives of the Respondent were present.

4. The Counsel for the Respondent informed that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and the Respondent has already submitted before the Commission a copy of Mutual Settlement letter from the Petitioner wherein he has stated that there was no dispute remained in respect of the above matter.

5. In view of the facts stated above regarding amicable settlement between the parties, the case is dismissed as settled.

6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
(B. P. Singh) Member	(J. P. Singh) Member	(P. D. Sudhakar) Chairperson