



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

No. F. 11(1392)/DERC/2016-17/

Petition No. 34/2016

In the matter of: **Petition seeking reduction in the energy charges payable by SDMC to BRPL for per unit consumption of electricity by street lights using Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) in order to incentivize usage of LED for street lighting.**

South Delhi Municipal Corporation
Through Executive Engineer (E)/South Zone)
Under Sewa Nagar Flyover,
Bhisham Pitamah Marg,
New Delhi 110 003

....Petitioner

Versus

BSES Rajdhani Power Limited
Through its CEO
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place
New Delhi

....Respondent/1

M/s Energy Services Limited
AJV of PSEs of Ministry of Power
4th Floor, IWAI Building, A-13
Sector – 1, Noida 201 301 (UP)

....Respondent/2

Coram:

Sh. Krishna Saini, Chairperson
Sh. B. P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Pratap Singh, Advocate
2. Mr. Vikas Jain, SDMC
3. Mr. Rajiv Narang, SDMC
4. Mr. Abhishek Singh, EESL,
5. Mr. Pushdeep, BRPL
6. Mr. Narender Naryan, BRPL

ORDER

(Date of Hearing 12.07.2016)
(Date of Order: 25.07.2016)

1. The instant Petition has been filed by South Delhi Municipal Corporation (SDMC) seeking reduction in the energy charges payable by SDMC to BSES Rajdhani Power Limited (BRPL) for per unit consumption of electricity by the street lights using Light Emitting Diodes (LEDs) in order to incentivize usage of LEDs for street lighting.

2. The Counsel for the Petitioner stated that it is crucial that a regulatory environment which supports increase in usage of LED lights for street lighting project is created in an appropriate manner for the swift and successful implementation of the 'National Programme for LED street lights and home lights in 100 cities; and in this regard, it is significant to cite the example of the Hon'ble West Bengal Electricity Regulatory Commission (WBERC), which has determined payment of reduced energy charges per kWh for street lights using LED lights.
3. It was further submitted that other SERCs like Rajasthan and Punjab have also reduced the Tariff for street lights using LED.
4. The Commission heard the submission of petitioner at length and observed that the reduction in energy bills itself by using LED lights is itself an incentive. Moreover, midway revision of Tariff for a particular category is neither practical nor feasible because it may have impact on other categories. Revision of tariff is a holistic exercise in which every aspect has to be taken into consideration. Therefore, the instant Petition for revision of tariff midway is not maintainable.
5. In view of the above, the instant Petition is not admitted and is disposed of.
6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Krishna Saini)
Chairperson