

## DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017.

No. F.11(187)/DERC/2005-06/

### **Petition No. 20/2005**

**In the matter of :** Tariff for Supply of Electricity by the Distribution Licensees (BSES) Rajdhani Power Limited, BSES Yamuna Power Limited and North Delhi Power Limited)

Delhi Metro Rail Corporation Ltd.

Through its : **G.M./E & IT**

NBCC Place, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor,

Pragati Vihar,

Bishma Pitamah Marg,

New Delhi

.....**Petitioner**

### **VERSUS**

1. BSES Rajdhani Power Limited  
Through its : **CEO**  
BSES Bhawan, Nehru Place,  
New Delhi-110019.
2. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.  
Through its: **CEO**  
Shakti Kiran Building,  
Karkardooma  
New Delhi – 110 092.
3. North Delhi Power Ltd.  
Through its: **Managing Director**  
Grid Sub-station Building,  
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,  
Delhi – 110 009

...**Respondents.**

**Coram :**

**Sh.P.D. Sudhakar, Chairman, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member,  
& Sh. J.P. Singh, Member**

*Appearance :*

1. Sh. Y.M. Saxena, Vice President, BRPL
2. Sh. R.R Panda, G.M., BRPL
3. Sh. Sharad Sharma, E.D/Electrical, DMRC
4. Sh. Anoop Gupta, G.M./E &TI

### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing 08.09.2011)

(Date of Order 27.09.2011)

1. Mr. Sharad Sharma, E.D./Electrical appearing for DMRC submitted that in terms of Para 6.12 of the Tariff Order dated 07.07.2005 passed by the Hon'ble Commission, the maximum demand including for the purpose of determining

load violation, additional charges, or penalty etc payable for exceeding its maximum demand shall be calculated on the basis of the aggregate of all the connected load at different points of delivery falling in areas of the different Distribution Licensees and not on the basis of each point of delivery of a Distribution Licensee or each Distribution Licensee separately.

2. Mr. Sharma further submitted that it is necessary to clarify that the maximum demand shall be determined on a simultaneous basis for the total power drawn by the Petitioner at different points of delivery falling in the areas of different Distribution Licensees and not separately for each point of delivery or for each Distribution Licensees.
3. Mr. Sharma further submitted that BRPL and BYPL are charging DMRC for concurrent maximum demands, in place of the arithmetic sum of maximum demands recorded in their respective areas of supply. However, NDPL charges the maximum demand separately for their area of supply. Accordingly, DMRC are required to pay penal charges to some of the utilities where they exceed their contract demand, even though they are within the aggregate contract demand for Delhi as a whole.
4. Mr. Sharma submitted that the demand charges need to be calculated based on the aggregate maximum demand of DMRC in the NCT, drawn from the different Distribution Licensees and penal charges should be levied only if the concurrent maximum demand for all Distribution Licensees exceeds the aggregate contract demand for all of them put together.
5. Mr. Y.M. Saxena, Vice President appearing for BRPL submitted that DMRC has a separate contract with each Discom for supply of power therefore the above submission of DMRC that the maximum demand should be calculated based on the aggregate capacity contracted by the DMRC with all Distribution Licensees is legally incorrect. Mr. Saxena contended that this issue should be resolved according to the power supply contract of DMRC with each Discom in the NCT of Delhi.
6. Mr. Sharma of DMRC agreed to take up the matter with the various distribution licensees for an amicable resolution.

7. After hearing both the parties at length, the Commission directs the Petitioner to take up the issue as per the terms and conditions in the power supply contract between DMRC and each of the Distribution Licensees in the NCT of Delhi for an amicable resolution.
8. This Petition is disposed off accordingly.
9. Ordered Accordingly.

Sd/-  
(J.P. Singh)  
Member

Sd/-  
(Shyam Wadhera)  
Member

Sd/-  
(P.D. Sudhakar)  
Chairman