DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

<u>Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17</u>

F.11 (1202)/DERC/2014-15

Petition No. 19/2015

Under section 142 of Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Ms. Neelam W/o Shri Suresh, H. No. 90 Baprola Village Najafgarh, New Delhi – 110043

.....Complainant

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. Through its: **CEO** BSES Bhawan Nehru Place New Delhi-110019

.....Respondent

Coram:

Sh. B. P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

- 1. Shri Avinash Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner;
- 2. Shri J.N. Mishra, along with the Petitioner;
- 3. Shri Arav Kapoor, Advocate for the Petitioner;
- 4. Shri Aruj Mathur, Legal Manager, BRPL;
- 5. Shri harish Kr. Saini, BRPL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 08.03.2017) (Date of Order: 14.03.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Ms Neelam under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of Regulation 9 (6) read with Regulation 11 of DERC (Guidelines for Establishment of Forum for Redressal of Grievances of the Consumer and Ombudsman) Regulations, 2003, whereby the Respondent has failed to comply with the Order of CGRF dated 28.05.2014.

Petition No.19/2015

2. The matter was listed for hearing in the Commission, wherein both the parties

were present. During the hearing, the Counsel for the Respondent submitted

that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has granted stay against the CGRF order

dated 28.05.2014 in respect of which the Petition under Section 142 of the

Electricity Act, 2003 has been filed before the Commission and therefore, the

matter may be adjourned till further Orders by the Hon'ble High Court of

Delhi.

3. The counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the earlier petition filed by the

Respondent before the Hon'ble High court of Delhi was dismissed with a

liberty to file a fresh petition and the instant stay order is in respect of a fresh

petition filed by the Respondent. However, as the stay is in operation till

further order by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, there is no objection for

adjournment of the matter.

4. Considering the above facts, the Commission directed the Respondent to

apprise the Commission about the further orders of the Hon'ble High Court of

Delhi in the matter so as to decide date for hearing of the matter.

5. Accordingly, the matter was adjourned and the next date of hearing shall be

intimated to the parties in due course.

6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-(B. P. Singh)

Member