

# **Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110 017

No. F.11(622)/DERC/2010-11/C.F.No.2600/6747

## **Petition No. 39/2010**

**In the matter of:** Complaint under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

**AND**

**In the matter of:**

Neelam  
W/o Mohd. M.S. Khan  
T-22-A, IInd Floor,  
Baljeet Nagar,  
New Delhi-110 008

**....Complainant**

## **VERSUS**

BSES Yamuna Power Limited  
Through its : **CEO**  
Shakti Kiran Building,  
Karkardooma,  
Delhi-110 092.

**....Respondent**

## **Coram:**

**Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &  
Sh. J.P. Singh, Member.**

## **Appearance:**

1. Sh. P.K. Mahur, Officer (Legal), BYPL;
2. Sh. Sita Ram, AVP, BYPL.

## **ORDER**

Date of Hearing: 28.02.2012  
(Date of Order: 12.03.2012)

1. The instant complaint has been filed by Smt. Neelam, against the Respondent company under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003. She is

R/o T-22A, IInd Floor, Baljeet Nagar, New Delhi – 110 008 and having electricity connection of K. No. 114114810418 for Domestic purpose with IKW sanctioned Load.

2. In its reply filed by the Respondent it has challenged the jurisdiction of the Commission to hear the above case on the grounds that since a complaint in this regard has been filed before the Special Court having case no. 119/2010, which is still pending for adjudication under section 135, 138, 150 read with 151 of the Act, and in a case where the matter (involving similar issues) is pending before the other Court, then it cannot be heard in another court simultaneously at a time as the same is barred by Section 10 of CPC being res-subjudice. Moreover section 154 puts an embargo on other courts to hear such cases as the sole jurisdiction to try such cases lies with the Special court.
3. The above matter was listed on 28.02.2012 for hearing in the Commission which was attended by above representatives of the Respondent, however, no one appeared on behalf of the complainant. The Commission disposes off the above petition, in light of the pendency of the above case in the Special Court; however petitioner has liberty to file fresh complaint, if the Special Court hold, Respondent responsible for any violation.
4. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-  
(J. P. Singh)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shyam Wadhera)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(P. D. Sudhakar)  
CHAIRPERSON