

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017

F.11 (1140)/DERC/2014-15/4433

Petition No. 43/2014

In the matter of: Petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

M/s Print Wizards

Shri Pardeep bajaj (proprietor)

A-45, Naraina Industrial Area, Phase – II,

New Delhi – 110028

.....**Complainant**

VERSUS

1. Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

Through its: **General Manager**

Opposite C-2 Block, Lawrence Road,

Keshavpura, New Delhi - 110035

2. Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

Through its: **M.D**

Grid Sub – Station Building,

Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp

New Delhi – 110009

.....**Respondents**

Coram:

Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. J.P. Singh, Member & Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Petitioner in person;
2. Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Counsel for the Petitioner;
3. Sh. Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent;
4. Sh. O P Singh, AGM, TPDDL;
5. Sh. Neeraj Singh, AM, TPDDL;
6. Ms. Nayantara Pande, Corp Legal, TPDDL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 16.04.2015)

(Date of Order: 23.04.2015)

1. The instant petition has been filed by M/s Print Wizard through its proprietor Shri Pradeep Bajaj against the Respondent Company under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for grant of compensation under Section 67 for violation of provision of Section 43(1) of the Electricity Act, 2003.

2. The Commission while admitting the above petition, vide its Interim Order dated 17.11.2014, directed the Respondent to show cause on the prima facie findings of violation of Section 43 of the Electricity Act, 2003 as the Commission observed that the Respondent failed to supply the electricity within the period stipulated in sub section 1 of Section 43 of the Act, 2003 even after payment of the amount raised in the demand note issued by the Respondent and shall be liable to a penalty. The respondent was also directed to Show-cause as to why compensation under Regulation 65 of the DERC Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007 read with Section 57 (2) of the Electricity Act, 2003 should not be awarded to the consumer. The Respondent filed its reply to the above Show Cause Notice on 06.01.2015.
3. The matter was listed for hearing in the Commission today, which was attended by the petitioner and Counsel/representatives of the Respondent. Arguments and submissions from both the parties were made at length.
4. The arguments from both the parties are over and the matter is reserved for Orders. The parties are directed to file written submissions on their arguments within two weeks.
5. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(J. P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(P. D. Sudhakar)
Chairperson