

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

No. F. 11(847)/DERC/2012-13/3647

Petition No. 40/2012

In the matter of: Petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Mohd. Idrish
D-20, School Block
Nathu Colony, Nand Nagri
Delhi – 110093

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s BSES Yamuna Power Ltd.
Through its: CEO
Shakti Kiran Building
Karkardooma
Delhi-110092

...Respondent

Coram:

Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairperson

Appearance:

1. None appeared on behalf of the Petitioner
2. Shri Arav kapoor, Advocate, BYPL.
3. Shri I U Siddiqui, Legal Officer, BYPL.

ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 15.05.2014)

(Date of Order: 21.05.2014)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Mohd Idrish, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure laid down in Regulation 52 and 53 of the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007 while booking the case of theft.

2. The Commission while admitting the above petition, vide its Interim Order dated 13.08.2013, directed the Respondent to show cause on the prima facie findings of violations of Regulations 40 (a), 52 (viii), 52 (ix), 53 (i) and 53 (ii) of Delhi Electricity Supply Code & Performance Standards Regulations, 2007. The Respondent filed its reply to the above Show Cause Notice on 14.10.2013.
3. Subsequently, the respondent vide its letter dated 13.05.2014, filed an affidavit, wherein it is stated that the matter had already been settled between the petitioner and the respondent company and there is no dispute in respect of the captioned matter. The respondent has also furnished a copy of a letter dated 19.12.2013 from the petitioner, wherein the petitioner has stated that the matter has been resolved and he is satisfied and undertakes not to proceed or file any case/complaint with regard to the above said case.
4. The matter was listed for hearing today. No appearance was made by the petitioner or his counsel. The counsel of the respondent requested to dismiss the petition as the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.
5. In view of the facts stated above, the Commission dismissed the petition as amicably settled between the parties.
6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(P. D. Sudhakar)
Chairperson