# **Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**

<u>Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017</u>

No. F. 11(1040)/DERC/2013-14/4097

### Petition No. 48/2013

In the matter of: Petition under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

### In the matter of:

Madan Sharma

Through: Vinita, Advocate

Chamber no. 614, Saket Court,

New Delhi ......Complainant

**VERSUS** 

1. BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.

HOD, Enforcement Cell

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.

Andrews Ganj, New Delhi

2. HOD, Meter Management Group

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.

Andrews Ganj, New Delhi

3. BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd

Through: CEO BSES Bhawan

Nehru Place, New Delhi - 110019

.....Respondent

### Coram

Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. J.P. Singh, Member & Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

# Appearance:

- 1. Shri A.A. Khan, Counsel for the Petitioner.
- 2. Shri Varun Sharma, Legal Consultant, BRPL
- 3. Shri Raghav Chadha, Advocate, BRPL
- 4. Shri B. Bhakar, BRPL
- 5. Shri Anuj, Division-HKS
- 6. Shri S. Bhattacharya, DGM, Enforcement Cell, BRPL
- 7. Shri Surender Kumar, Advocate, BRPL

#### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 28.08.2014) (Date of Order: 03.09.2014)

 The instant petition has been filed by by Shri Madan Sharma against the BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for alleged failure on part of the Respondent to restore the electricity connection on payment of settlement amount.

- 2. The Commission while admitting the above petition, vide its Interim Order dated 02.05.2014, directed the Respondent to show cause on the prima facie findings of violations section 135 (1A) of Electricity Act, 2003, whereby the licensee on deposit or payment of assessed/settlement amount or electricity charges in accordance with the provisions of this Act, shall restore the supply line of electricity within forty eight hours of such deposit or payment.
- 3. The Respondent filed its reply to the above Show Cause Notice on 24.06.2014 wherein it was submitted that two new meters had been installed in the name of Shri. Madan Sharma and Smt. Vinita Sharma, and the Consumer had expressed his satisfaction over the action taken by the Respondent.
- 4. Along with the reply to the Show Cause Notice, the Respondent has also furnished a copy of the application dated 18.06.2014 from the petitioner for withdrawal of the aforesaid petition stating that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the Petitioner may be allowed to withdraw the aforesaid petition.
- 5. The matter was listed for hearing today i.e. on 28.08.2014, wherein both the parties were present. During the hearing, the Counsel on behalf of the Petitioner requested that he wanted to withdraw the instant complaint as the matter has been amicably settled between the parties.
- 6. In view of the facts stated above, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
- 7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-(B. P. Singh) Member Sd/-(J. P. Singh) Member

Sd/-(P. D. Sudhakar) Chairperson