DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1491)/DERC/2017-18

Petition No. 32/2017

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Ms. Kanta Guri,
W/o Shri Mahender Guri,
R/o House No. 199-100,
2nd Floor, Block G, Pkt 21 Sector -7,
Rohini, New Delhi – 110085 **…….Complainant**

Vs.

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its: **M.D**
Grid Sub – Station Building,
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp
New Delhi – 110009 **……..Respondent**

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Advocate for the Petitioner;
2. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent;
3. Shri Shagun Trisal, Advocate for Respondent;
4. Shri Neeraj Singh, AM, TPDDL;
5. Shri Pratyush, TPDDL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 30.11.2017)
(Date of Order: 04.12.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Ms. Kanta Guri under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd. for violation of the procedure regarding booking of theft case as laid down in Regulations of the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. The matter was heard on 30.11.2017. The Counsel for the Petitioner reiterated its submissions made in the Petition and submitted that meter bearing No. 41483800 was stolen on 02.05.2017. The Petitioner reported the matter to the Complaint Centre and submitted necessary documents to the Respondent. The Petitioner further submitted that a DAE case was booked against the stolen meter, merely on the basis of 3 days consumption.

3. The Counsel for the Respondent submitted that for connection bearing CA No. 60017478664, the connected load was 14.066 KW, the complainant's MDI just after replacement recorded 3.00 KW where as their previous MDI was approximately Zero KW but the recording was around 250 units/month. New meter in 15 days recorded 925 units/months approximately 4 times more than previous consumption.

4. On the query of the Commission whether the consumption pattern of past twelve (12) months has been analysed, the Respondent sought two weeks time to file the details.

5. The Commission directed the Respondent to submit the details of the consumption of past twelve (12) months and that of new meter from the date of installation till date and also to test the new meter for accuracy. The accuracy test report and consumption pattern shall be filed within two weeks with a copy to the Petitioner.

6. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member