

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110 017

No. F.11(615)/DERC/2010-11/C.F.No.2594/6784

Petition No. 66/2010

In the matter of: Complaint under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

AND

In the matter of :

Sh. Kamrul Huda
J-3/122-J-Extn.,
Laxmi Nagar,
Delhi-110 092

....Complainant

VERSUS

BSES Yamuna Power Limited
Through its : **CEO**
Shakti Kiran Building,
Karkardooma,
Delhi-110 092.

....Respondent

Coram:

**Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &
Sh. J.P. Singh, Member.**

Appearance:

1. Sh. P.K. Mahur, Officer (Legal), BYPL;
2. Sh. Sita Ram, AVP, BYPL.

ORDER

Date of Hearing: 28.02.2012
(Date of Order: 12.03.2012)

1. The instant complaint has been filed by Sh. Kamrul Huda, against the Respondent company under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for violation of procedure laid down in Regulation 52 read with 53 of Supply Code while booking the case of DAE against the complainant. He is R/o J-3/122-J Extn, Laxmi Nagar, Delhi and having K. No. 1230O8250223 for non-domestic purpose with 4 KW sanction load.

2. The Respondent has filed an affidavit on 21.02.2012 stating that the aforesaid matters vide Case ID YM310310C0803 has already been amicably settled between petitioner and respondent company in Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee, Lok Adalat order dated 12.12.2010 and Case ID YM310310C0802 has been settled as per the satisfaction letter submitted by the petitioner to the Respondent in which he has made request for withdrawal all his litigation pending before DERC. The Respondent has further submitted in his affidavit that the petitioner has made the complete payment and no dues certificate has been issued to the consumer in both the aforesaid Case ID as per the settlement.
3. The Respondent has also filed the copies of the order of the Lok Adalat along with copy of the satisfaction letter given by Sh. Kamrul Huda, complainant.
4. The above matter was listed on 28.02.2012 for hearing in the Commission which was attended by above representatives of the Respondent however, no one appeared on behalf of the complainant. The Commission while acceding to the request of the complainant for withdrawal of his complaint and subsequent request of the Respondent for disposing off the above complaint in terms of amicable settlement, decides to dispose off the above complaint considered as amicably settled and withdrawn.
5. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(J.P. Singh)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shyam Wadhera)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(P.D. Sudhakar)
CHAIRPERSON