# **Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110 017

Ref. F.11(528)/DERC/2009-10/C.F.No.2248

#### **Petition No. 33/2012**

**In the matter of:** Complaint under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

AND

In the matter of:
Jagmohan Singh
Bawa Enterprises
G-6, Lawrence Road Industrial Area
Delhi-110035

...Complainant

#### **VERSUS**

M/s Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited

Through its: CEO

Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp

Delhi-110009

...Respondent

#### **Appearance:**

- 1. Shri S B Pandey, Counsel for the Petitioner.
- 2. Shri K Dutta, Advocate for the Respondent.
- 3. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for the Respondent.
- 4. Shri O P Singh, Sr. Manager, TPDDL.
- 5. Shri Shelendra Singh, Sr. Manager, TPDDL.
- 6. Ms. Sarika Mehta, AM, TPDDL.

## Coram:

Sh. P.D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member & Sh. J.P. Singh, Member.

### **ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 14.03.2013) (Date of Order: 26.03.2013)

- The present petition has been filed by Shri Jagmohan Singh against Tata Power Delhi Distribution Limited under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 for violation of procedures laid down in DERC Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007, while booking a DAE case in respect of his electricity K No. 32102129305.
- 2. The aforesaid complaint was forwarded to the CGRF-NDPL on 23.11.2009 to hear the complaint and decide the matter on merit.
- 3. In furtherance of the above, the CGRF passed its order on 05.06.2012 in the matter. The operative paragraph is reproduced as under:

- 4. On the basis of the findings given by the CGRF in its Order dated 05.06.2012, show-cause notice was issued to the Respondent on 19.07.2012.
- 5. In response to the above show cause notice, the Respondent filed its reply on 06.11.2012 and the matter was listed for hearing on 31.01.2013. On 31.01.2013, the Counsel for the Petitioner could not appear in time and the matter was again listed for hearing on 14.03.2013.
- 6. On 14.03.2013, the Counsel who appeared on behalf of Petitioner filed an application for withdrawal of the instant petition. It has been stated in the application that the grievance of the petitioner has already been resolved as the Respondent has withdrawn the DAE case against the Petitioner. It has also been stated in the application that the Petitioner may be allowed to withdraw the petition.
- 7. In view of the above, the Commission decides to dispose-off the present petition as withdrawn.
- 8. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-Sd/-(J.P. Singh)(Shyam Wadhera)(P.D. Sudhakar)MEMBERMEMBERCHAIRPERSON