



**Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission**  
**Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi –110 017.**

No. F.11(1979)/DERC/2022-23/7387

**Petition No. 25/2022**

**In the matter of:**      **Petition for seeking urgent directions and action against Respondent No. 1 BRPL for being in non-compliance of the specific and express direction(s)/order of this Commission dated 13.04.2021 in petition No. 25 of 2015 and the provisions of Electricity Act, 2003, and seeking issuance of directions against the Respondent No. 1 to comply with the unequivocal directions of the Commission by virtue of the said Order**

**Timarpur Okhla Waste Management Co. Ltd.**

....Petitioner

**Vs.**

**BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.**

....Respondent No. 1

**State Load Despatch Centre**

....Respondent No. 2

**Coram:**

**Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Ambasht, Member**

**Appearance:**

1. Ms. Molshree Bhatnagar, Adv., TOWMCL
2. Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan, Adv., BRPL
3. Ms. Shailja Kulshreshtha, Adv., SLDC

**INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 26.05.2023)

(Date of Order: 26.05.2023)

1. Heard Ms. Molshree Bhatnagar, Counsel on behalf of the Petitioner. She has pointed out in detail about the Commission's Order dated 13.04.2021 and its prayer under the present Petition for directions to the Respondents. Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan has appeared on behalf of the Respondent and while pointing out the Sections mentioned in the Petition and prayers made out, he has deliberated upon the para 116-121 of Supreme Court Order 2018(6) SSC 21, State of Gujarat Vs Utility Users Welfare Association & Ors. He has submitted that it was the duty of the Petitioner to point out that vide the said Supreme Court judgement it has been made mandatory that for adjudication, a legal Member is necessary in the Bench. Mr. Buddy has conceded that he has no objection to proceed further on the merits but he thought it is his duty to point out the directions of the Supreme Court.

**WEAR FACE MASK**

**WASH HANDS REGULARLY**

**MAINTAIN SOCIAL DISTANCING**

2. Ms. Moleshree, on the contrary, has submitted that the present Petition is not adjudicatory in nature but merely an implementation of the Commission's Order dated 13.04.2021.
3. Be that as it may, for the benefit of the Commission, it is necessary that a Written Submission, limited to the very nature of the present Petition be submitted by both the parties within two weeks and provide the copies of the Written Submission to each other.
4. List this case on 04.07.2023.

**Sd/-**  
**(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)**  
**Member**