



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17.

No. F.11(1796)/DERC/2020-21/CF 6856

Petition No. 29/2020

In the matter of : Petition under Section 86(1)(b) and (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 57 of DERC Comprehensive (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2001 regarding various issues/differences having arisen between the Petitioner and the State Generating Utilities, i.e., IPGCL and PPCL on the reconciliation of the outstanding dues including the incorrect levy of Late Payment Surcharge.

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.

.... Petitioner

Vs.

1. Indraprastha Power Generation Co. Ltd.

2. Pragati Power Corporation Ltd.

.... Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Shri Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson

Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Ambasht, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Buddy A Ranganadhan, Adv., TPDDL
2. Mr. Rahul Kinra, Adv. TPDDL
3. Mr. Anand K. Ganeshan, Adv., IPGCL, PPCL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 18.10.2022)

(Date of Order: 18.10.2022)

1. Heard Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan along with Mr. Rahul Kinra, Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr. Anand K. Ganeshan Counsel for the Respondent for quite some time. After hearing the parties, it appears that the matter today stands almost resolved but for a small formality to be completed by SLDC. Though Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan has argued that no further allocation needs to be made by SLDC after the allocation made by the State Government which is available on page 56 of the Additional submissions by TPDDL submitted on 20.07.2021. However, Mr. Anand Ganeshan has submitted that although Mr. P.K. Gupta, G.M. SLDC, DTL and Mr. S. Sutradhar, DGM, SLDC were present in the meeting held on 15.07.2015 at Sr. No. 8 & 9 respectively, in the list of participants at page 57 of the above said submissions, but they have not issued the Revised Energy Account (REA). In the absence of which, the IPGCL are unable to fulfil its obligation. Since SLDC is not a party at this moment to this proceedings, Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan has made an

WEAR FACE MASK WASH HAND REGULARLY MAINTAIN SOCIAL DISTANCING

oral request that he may be allowed to implead SLDC as an opposite party in the Petition. Mr. Anand Ganeshan does not have any objection to this proposal. Accordingly, the Petitioner is allowed to implead SLDC in the array of the opposite parties during the course of the day. After the arrangement has been incorporated, issue notice to SLDC by Dasti Summons. Apart from this Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan as well as Mr. Anand Ganeshan are given liberty for approaching SLDC by their respective officers and communicating them the Order passed today. We don't see any good reason that after the allocation made by Delhi Government as given on page 56 of the above said submissions, SLDC has any other objection in issuing the REA. We hope and trust that this order will be carry forward amicably and expeditiously.

2. List this matter again on 24.11.2022.

Sd/-
(Dr. A.K. Ambasht)
Member

Sd/-
(Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri')
Chairperson