



Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

No. F. 11(1302)/DERC/2015-16/5035

Petition No. 77/2015

In the matter of: **Petition for seeking adjudication of dispute between the Distribution and Transmission Licensee along with (i) Complaint u/S 142 & 146 of the E.A 2003 seeking appropriate directives from the Commission in relation to disbursement of Short Term Open Access Charges by DTL and (ii) Petition u/s 62(6) of the E.A. 2003 seeking refund of excess tariff paid to DTL filed by TPDDL**

Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
Through its Managing Director
Grid Sub Station Building
Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp,
Delhi 110 009

....Petitioner

Versus

Delhi Transco Limited
Shakti Sadan, Kotla Marg,
New Delhio 110002

....Respondent

Coram:

Sh. Krishna Saini, Chairperson
Sh. B. P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Mr. Alok Shankar, Adv., TPDDL
2. Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Adv. DTL
3. Mr. Sanjay Sharma, TPDDL
4. Mr. Rakesh Kumar, TPDDL
5. Mr. Yogesh Prakash, TPDDL
6. Mr. Uttam Kumar, TPDDL
7. Mr. Deepak Jain, TPDDL
8. Mr. Divyanshu Bhatt, TPDDL
9. Mr. J.K. Sinha, TPDDL
10. Mr. Sumit Gupta, DTL
11. Mr. S.P. Singh, DTL
12. Mr. Pankaj Sharma, DTL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 12.07.2016)
(Date of Order: 22.07.2016)

1. In the instant Petition the petitioner has alleged that as per the provisions of DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2007, and in DERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Transmission Tariff) Regulations, 2011, the revenues earned from Short Term Open Access (STOA) is treated as revenue from other business. Accordingly, a Transmission Licensee is

required to adjust the charges collected from STOA consumers towards reduction of transmission charges by 75% of income earned by it through STOA.

2. The said issue has already been deliberated upon in the Commission and vide Commission's Tariff Order dated 29.09.2015 the parties namely TPDDL and DTL were asked to reconcile all cross adjustment made in their respective accounts without any further delay. (para 2.11 of the Tariff Order)
3. On specific query whether the accounts have been reconciled, the Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the records have not been reconciled till date and sought three weeks time to reconcile the accounts.
4. The Commission directed the parties to discuss and reconcile their accounts by 21st July, 2016 and the written submissions may be filed by 29th July, 2016.
5. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B.P. Singh)
Member

Sd/-
(Krishna Saini)
Chairperson