

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

<u>Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 110017.</u>

No. F.11(1707)/DERC/2019-20/6550

IA No. 3 of 2022 in R. Petition No.59/2019

In the matter of: Petition under Section 94 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with

Regulation 7 (iv) and 57 of the DERC Comprehensive (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2001 seeking review of the Order dated 13.05.2019 passed by this Commission in Petition No. 26 of 2018.

BSES Yamuna Power LimitedReview Petitioner Versus

1. Indraprastha Power Generation Co. Ltd.Respondent No. 1

2. Pragati Power Corporation Ltd.Respondent No. 2

and <u>IA No. 4/2022</u> <u>in</u> R. Petition No.60/2019

In the matter of:

3. Delhi Transco Ltd.

Petition under Section 94 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 7 (iv) and 57 of the DERC Comprehensive (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2001 seeking review of the Order dated 13.05.2019 passed by this Commission in Petition No. 08 of 2018.

BSES Rajdhani Power Limited VersusReview Petitioner

.....Respondent No. 3

.

1. Indraprastha Power Generation Co. Ltd.

.....Respondent No. 1

2. Pragati Power Corporation Ltd.

.....Respondent No. 2

3. Delhi Transco Ltd.

.....Respondent No. 3

Coram:

Hon'ble Shri Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri', Chairperson Hon'ble Dr. A. K.Ambasht. Member

Appearance:

- 1. Mr. Jagdeep Bhatt, Sr. Advocate, BRPL & BYPL
- 2. Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan, Adv. BRPL & BYPL
- 3. Ms. Swapna Seshadri, Adv. IPGCL & PPCL
- 4. Ms. Swagata Bose, Adv., DTL

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 04.08.2022) (Date of Order: 04.08.2022)

Heard Mr Jagdeep Bhatt, Sr. Advocate along with Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan, Counsels for the Review Petitioners. Ms. Swagata Bose has put in appearance on behalf of DTL

while Ms. Swapna Seshadri appears for IPGCL and PPCL. An application for interim relief has been moved by the Review Petitioners primarily requesting the Commission to use its powers and the good offices to resolve the issue, which is subject matter of the Review Petition as well as in the WP Nos. 104 and 105 of 2014 in the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Mr. Bhatt in a very precise manner has tried to impress that an attempt is being made on behalf of his clients to resolve the issue amicably and quickly as the delay is causing harm not only to both the parties but also to the consumers of Delhi. It has been suggested that the parties may be invited to sit across the table under the observation/guidance of the officials of the DERC to help and resolve the issues of dues claimed upon each other. Unfortunately, the copy of the IA given to the Counsel for DTL has not been served on the clients by the lawyer who has received the copy and instead Ms. Swagata Bose has put in appearance for DTL. She has pleaded that she is not aware of the contents of the application and hence, she has no instructions from her client. Since, no orders have been sought, only an attempt is to be made for reconciliation which cannot be done without the consent of the parties, we feel it appropriate that time may be given to Ms Swagata Bose to get the instructions from her clients after she has received the copy from Mr. Buddy Ranganadhan. List this case on 23.08.2022.

Sd/-(Dr. A.K. Ambasht) Member Sd/-(Justice Shabihul Hasnain 'Shastri') Chairperson