## DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110 017

F.11 (1110)/DERC/2014-15/4340

### **Petition No. 19/2014**

#### **VERSUS**

- Tata Power Delhi Distribution Ltd.
  Through its: M.D
  Grid Sub Station Building,
  Hudson Lines, Kingsway Camp
  Delhi 110009
- 2. BSES Yamuna Power Ltd. Through its: **CEO** Shakti Kiran Building, Karkardooma New Delhi – 110092
- 3. BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. Through its: **CEO** BSES Bhawan Nehru Place New Delhi-110019
- 4. Energy Efficiency & Environment, Govt. of Delhi (EE&REM) 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, E – wing, GPO Building, Vikas Bhawan – II, Civil Lines, New Delhi - 110054

.....Respondents

#### Coram:

Sh. P. D. Sudhakar, Chairperson, Sh. J. P. Singh, Member & Sh. B. P. Singh, Member.

### **Appearance**:

- 1. Ms. Mandakini Ghosh, Counsel for the Petitioner;
- 2. Mr. Y. Vinod, Petitioner
- 3. Mr. Mithun Chakraborty, AGM, TPDDL;

- 4. Mr. Uttam Kumar, AM, TPDDL;
- 5. Ms. Nayantara Pande, CL., TPDDL;
- 6. Mr. Neeraj Singh, AM, TPDDL
- 7. Mr. Rajeev Chowdhary, BRPL;
- 8. Mr. Arijjit Maitra, Advocate, BRPL
- 9. Mr. Parinay D. Shah, Advocate, TPDDL;
- 10. Mr. Sandeep Goel, PE, EE33& REM;
- 11. Mr. Sharma,, Manager, EE&REM;
- 12. Mr. I U Siddiqui, Legal Officer, BYPL.

# **INTERIM ORDER**

(Date of Hearing: 26.02.2015) (Date of Order: 13.03.2015)

- 1. The aforesaid Petition is filed by Green Energy Association, an Association of the companies engaged in the business of Renewal Energy. The major focus of the Associations is on REC mechanism in India.
- 2. A notice on the Petition was served on the Respondents, which was replied by the Respondents citing certain reasons for non compliance of RPO.
- 3. Considering the reply of the Respondents and arguments made through oral submission on 30.10.2014, a Show cause Notice dated 17.11.2014 was issued to Respondents, in response to which they have filed their replies.
- 4. The matter was listed for hearing today i.e. on 26.02.2015, which was attended by Counsel/representatives of the Petitioner as well as of Respondents. Arguments and submissions from both the parties were made at length.
- 5. The Counsel for the Respondents namely BRPL and BYPL raised the issue that Show cause Notice was issued without considering their replies and arguments.
- 6. On this issue, it was clarified that the Show cause Notice was issued after considering their arguments presented through written as well as oral submissions and the fact that no Respondent had affirmed that it had complied with the RP obligations. The plea/arguments of the Respondent

and the reasons for non-compliance of the RPO would be considered at the time of disposing of the main matter.

- 7. The arguments from both the parties are over and the matter is reserved for Orders. The parties are directed to file written submissions on their arguments within two weeks.
- 8. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-(B. P. Singh) Member Sd/-(J. P. Singh) Member Sd/-(P. D. Sudhakar) Chairperson