

Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission
Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

Ref. F.11(408)/DERC/2007-08

Petition No 20/2008

In the matter of: Complaint under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

AND

In the matter of :

Sh. Dineshwar
A-610, Gali No.7, Kh.No.313,
Meet Nagar, SHD,
Delhi.

...Complainant

VERSUS

BSESYamuna Power Limited
Through its : CEO
Shakti Kiran Bulding,
Karkardooma,
Delhi .

....Respondent

Coram:

**Sh. P.D.Sudhakar, Chairman, Sh. Shyam Wadhera, Member &
Sh. J. P. Singh, Member.**

ORDER

(Date of Order 01.09.2011)

DINESHWAR VERSUS BSES YAMUNA POWER LTD.

1. The above Complainant has submitted that he had applied for new connection on 13.9.2006 and the demand note amount was deposited on 24.10.2006. However new connection was not installed even after repeated requests. On 26.12.2006 and some officials of the Respondent Licensee visited the premises of the Complainant and instead of installing the meter, it has been alleged that they demanded some money from the Complainant which he refused.
2. The Complainant received a bill amounting to Rs. 72,596/- on 12.1.2007 with due date of 9.1.2007 for direct theft.
3. The Complainant then approached the Licensee's Enforcement Office on 15.1.2007 and explained all the facts following which licensee installed the new connection on 17.01.2007.
4. The Complainant alleges that inspection was illegal, disregard of law, Rules and Regulations and he has been falsely implicated in a case of DAE.

5. The Complainant has prayed for issuing directions to the Respondent
 - (i) Not to disconnect his supply.
 - (ii) To allow compensation of Rs. 2 lakhs for undue harassment and Rs. 10,000/- for litigation.
 - (iii) Penal action against the licensee.
6. The Respondent Licensee was asked to file its reply on the above vide Commission notice dated 11th January, 2008. Notice for hearing in the above matter was issued for 15.04.2008 . However the same was postponed due to some administrative reasons.
7. The Respondent filed its reply on 10th April, 2008 wherein it has been informed that the Respondent is trying to settle the above matter amicably and did not file any para-wise reply to the above complaint.
8. The Complainant submitted a compromise letter (satisfaction letter on behalf of the complainant) stating therein that he wants to withdraw the complaint in terms of settlement reached in between both parties on the above. However, this letter was unsigned.
9. Thereafter the Commission sought confirmation about the above withdrawal letter (dully signed) from the Complainant vide letter 1st January, 2009, 5th May, 2009 29th May, 2009 and 29th September, 2009, but the Complainant did not respond to any of the above communication.
10. However, on 20.04.2011, the Commission received a withdrawal letter from Complainant stating therein that as he has already made the entire payment of Rs.9669 against the bill of 10th April, 2008 and nothing is outstanding against him, therefore, his settlement with the licensee for dropping of the theft case may be allowed and no further notice may kindly be given to him in this case.
11. Taking into consideration the request of the complainant for withdrawal of above case in terms of amicable settlement reached in between both the parties and in pursuance to the letter submitted by

the Complainant for withdrawal of complaint, the present complaint stands dismissed as withdrawn.

12. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(J. P. Singh)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(Shyam Wadhera)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(P. D. Sudhakar)
CHAIRMAN