Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1277)/DERC/2015-16/4966

Petition No. 64/2015

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Shri. Dhanish Goyal
R/o 45/18, G/F, LSC Market,
Dakshin Puri Extn.,
New Delhi – 110062 **…….Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
BSES Bhawan
Nehru Place
New Delhi-110019 **…….Respondent**

Coram:
Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri Vinod Kumar, Advocate for the Petitioner;
2. Shri Aditya Gupta, Advocate for Respondent;
3. Shri Ashish Verma, Advocate for Respondent;
4. Shri S. Bhattacharya, GM Enforcement, BRPL;
5. Shri Aruj Mathur, Manager, BRPL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 13.04.2017)
(Date of Order: 24.04.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Dhanish Goyal under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure as laid down in the Regulations of the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. The matter was listed for hearing in the Commission, which was attended by both the parties. As per the Interim Order dated 26.07.2016, the parties were directed to file their respective written submissions on the status of settlement within two weeks so as to determine maintainability of the Petition. However, it has been filed by the Petitioner only.

3. On the observation of the Commission that the prayers as sought for by the Petitioner does not fall under the ambit of Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003, the Counsel for the Petitioner sought permission of the Commission to amend the prayer clause of the petition so as to bring it under the purview of Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003.

4. The Commission acceded to the request of the Petitioner and granted one week's time to the Petitioner to file application for amendment in the prayer clause of the Petition. The Respondent is directed to file its written submissions on the status of settlement so as to determine maintainability of the Petition within one week. The matter was adjourned.

5. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member