DELHI ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi- 110017

F.11 (1220)/DERC/2015-16

Petition No. 26/2015

Under section 142 of Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

Shri Ashok Kumar
H-58 T/F, NDSE I, Part I,
New Delhi - 110048 **…….Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
BSES Bhawan
Nehru Place
New Delhi-110019 **……..Respondent**

Coram: Sh. B.P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri Manoj Banka, Authorized Representative of the Petitioner;
2. Shri Parsant, along with the Petitioner;
3. Shri S. Bhattacharya, GM Enforcement, BRPL.
4. Shri Ritu raj Sinha, DGM, Enf, BRPL;
5. Shri Manish Srivastava, Advocate for Respondent;
6. Shri Aditya Gupta, Advocate for Respondent;
7. Shri Shagun Trisal, Advocate for Respondent;
8. Shri Aruj Mathur, Manager (Legal), BRPL;

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 25.10.2017)
(Date of Order: 03.11.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by Shri Ashok Kumar, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure as laid down in Regulations of the Delhi Electricity Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 16.03.2017, the Commission asked the Respondent to clarify that when the Meter No. 22145869 was not punched, how assessment can be made against such Meter, and also to specify the exact date when the above Meter No. 22145869 was installed at the premises of the Petitioner.

3. The Counsel for the Respondent submitted that in compliance to the Interim Order dated 23.03.2017 of the Commission, it has filed clarification as to how an assessment could be made against a meter that was not punched and also the exact date on which Meter No. 22145869 was installed at the premises of the petitioner. He further submitted that the system operated and maintained by the Respondent reflects the fact that Meter No. 22145869 is installed at the premises of the Petitioner. However, the records pertaining to the installation of Meter No. 22145869, being old records relating to year 2004, is untraceable at present.

4. The Commission directed the Respondent to submit the billing details of old Meter (No. 124556) for three months before change of the meter and billing details of new Meter (No. 22145869) for three months from the date of its installation. The Counsel for the Respondent sought one week's time to file aforesaid billing details.

5. The Commission accepted the prayer of the Respondent and the matter was adjourned.

6. The next date of hearing shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

7. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member