Delhi Electricity Regulatory Commission

Viniyamak Bhawan, 'C' Block, Shivalik, Malviya Nagar, New Delhi – 17

FF.11 (1342)/DERC/2015-16

Petition No. 04/2016

Under section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003

In the matter of:

M/s A.K. Mehta & Co.
Through: its Director, Shri A.K. Mehta,
Contractor, Mega Housing Group,
V-279, Rajouri Garden,
New Delhi – 110027 **…….Complainant**

VERSUS

BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd.
Through its: **CEO**
BSES Bhawan
Nehru Place
New Delhi-110019 **…….Respondent**

Coram:
Sh. B. P. Singh, Member

Appearance:

1. Shri NK Nagar, Advocate for the Petitioner;
2. Shri Anurag Vijay, Advocate for Respondent;
3. Shri S Bhattacharya, GM, BRPL.
4. Shri Aruj Mathur, Manager, BRPL.

INTERIM ORDER

(Date of Hearing: 11.05.2017)
(Date of Order: 16.05.2017)

1. The instant petition has been filed by M/s A.K. Mehta & Co., through its director Shri A.K. Mehta, under Section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003 against BSES Rajdhani Power Ltd. for violation of the procedure while booking a case of theft of electricity as laid down in the Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007.

2. The matter was heard on 11.05.2017. During the hearing, the Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that this is a fabricated case. Infact there were ten

towers in the Mega Housing Group Project of DDA which were under construction. Out of these ten towers, only two towers were being constructed by the Petitioner. The electricity supplies to these two towers were being received through metered temporary connections. The so called dummy meter as alleged by the Respondent was not installed in any of the two towers under control of the Petitioner and it might have been installed in any other tower. The Respondent has wrongly booked the consumption of the dummy meter against the Petitioner and has also calculated the connected load exuberantly wrong.

3. The Counsel for the Respondent refuted the statement made by the Petitioner and submitted that the due procedure as prescribed in Delhi Electricity Regulatory Supply Code and Performance Standards Regulations, 2007 was observed.

4. After hearing both the parties at length, the Commission directed both the parties to file their written submissions on Affidavit within two weeks and adjourned the matter.

5. The next date of hearing to decide about the admission of the Petition shall be intimated to the parties in due course.

6. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
(B. P. Singh)
Member